
HANSLOPE PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN REVIEW 2016 – 2031



Published by Hanslope Parish Council

October 2024

Contents

Table of Contents

| FOREWORD | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| List | of La | nd Use Policies | 5 | | |
| 1. | The Pr Strate Next S | duction & Background re-Submission Plan gic Environmental Assessment & Habitat Regulations Assessment Steps 4 Consultation | 7 7 9 | | |
| 2. | The l | Neighbourhood Area | 10 | | |
| 3. | | ning Policy Context | | | |
| | | MK ging MK Local Plan | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4. | Com | munity Views on Planning Issues | 14 | | |
| 5. | Visio | n, Objectives & Land Use Policies | 14 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Objec | tives | . 14 | | |
| | | oring & Review Policy | | | |
| | | Jse Planning Policies | | | |
| | - | HAN1: Hanslope and Long Street Development Boundaries | | | |
| | - | HAN2 Housing Development Sites | | | |
| | Policy HAN3: Design in the Hanslope Conservation Area | | | | |
| | Policy HAN4: Design and Development Principles in the Parish | | | | |
| | Policy HAN5: Commercial, Business and Service Uses | | | | |
| | Policy HAN6: Rural Economic Development | | | | |
| | • | HAN7: Community Facilities | | | |
| | Policy HAN8: Local Green Spaces | | | | |
| | Policy HAN9: Green Infrastructure | | | | |
| | Policy | HAN10: Local Gap | . 27 | | |
| 6. | Impl | ementation | 28 | | |
| | Devel | opment Management | . 28 | | |
| | Infras | tructure Projects | . 29 | | |
| APF | PENDI | X 1 - Character and Design Statement | 32 | | |
| 1 | . Int | roduction | . 33 | | |
| | 1.1 | Background | . 33 | | |
| | 1.2 | Planning Policy Context - Conservation Areas | . 33 | | |
| | 1.3 | Objectives | . 33 | | |
| | 1.4 | Location (See Map A) | | | |
| | 1.5 | Geology and Hinterland | . 35 | | |
| | 1.6 | Historic Development | | | |
| 2 | . Chara | acter and Design Statement | 41 | | |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | . 41 | | |
| | 2.2 | Location/Views | . 41 | | |
| | 2.3 | Historic Buildings | . 41 | | |

| APPENDIX 2 - Community Views on Planning Issues | 53 |
|---|----|
| APPENDIX 3 – Key Views Report | 55 |
| APPENDIX 4 – Local Green Spaces Report | 56 |

FOREWORD

In 2016 a working group was set up by Hanslope Parish Council consisting of members of the council and residents of Hanslope who volunteered to help. They drafted the Neighbourhood Plan for the Parish of Hanslope 2016-2031 and it was adopted, following referendum, in October 2019.

The Neighbourhood Plan was put together in line with the views expressed in a Parish Survey of residents regarding future land use and development needs in the parish as well as taking into account the relevant policies in the local development Plan:MK.

In line with Government advice the Parish Council has now reviewed the made plan with a working party (the Steering Group) made up of volunteers from the Parish Council and local residents. They have worked hard to gauge the feelings and aspirations of the residents through on-line questionnaires and in-person meetings. Whilst it is recognised it is unlikely there will be full agreement on all aspects of any Neighbourhood Plan, the plan that the Steering Group has developed meets the stated aspirations of the majority of residents.

The Parish Council would like to thank the members of the Steering Group for their time in putting this Neighbourhood Plan review together. Thanks also go to our Neighbourhood Planning Consultants, ONeill Homer, for their technical support, and David Blandamer of MKCC for his advice in producing this updated plan.

April 2024

List of Land Use Policies

| HAN1 | Hanslope and Long Street Development Boundaries |
|-------|---|
| HAN2 | Housing Site Allocations |
| HAN3 | Design in the Hanslope Conservation Area |
| HAN4 | Design in the Parish |
| HAN5 | Commercial, Business and Service Uses |
| HAN6 | Rural Economic Development |
| HAN7 | Community Facilities |
| HAN8 | Local Green Spaces |
| HAN9 | Green Infrastructure |
| HAN10 | Local Gap |

1. Introduction & Background

1.1 Hanslope Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan for the area designated by the local planning authority Milton Keynes City Council (MKCC), in December 2015, under the provisions of the Localism Act of 2011, and of the Neighbourhood Planning General Regulations 2012. The designated area coincides with the Parish boundary, shown in Plan A below. This document is the second iteration of the original plan which was made (adopted) in October 2019 and covered the period from 2016 – 2031. The Plan Review is being prepared in accordance with the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations of 2012 (as amended).




Plan A: Hanslope Parish Neighbourhood Plan; Designated Area

1.2 The purpose of the plan is to set out local planning policies that can be used to help determine planning applications in the area covered by the plan. Its policies will encourage development proposals for the benefit of the local community and will aim to protect the special character of the villages of Hanslope and Long Street and of the wider Parish.

1.3 Neighbourhood plans provide local communities with the chance to shape the future development of their areas. Upon passing through examination, the Plan Review will be 'made' and become a statutory part of the development plan for the area. As such, it will carry significant weight in how planning applications are decided. Plans can therefore only contain land use planning policies that can be used for this purpose. This often means that there are more important issues of interest to the local community that cannot be addressed in a plan if they are not directly relating to planning.

1.4 Although there is considerable scope for the local community to decide on its planning policies, neighbourhood plans must meet a number of 'basic conditions'. These are:



1.5 In addition, the Parish Council will need to demonstrate to an Independent Examiner that it has successfully engaged with the local community and stakeholders in preparing the Plan. The Examiner will also make a judgement as to whether the proposed changes in the Plan Review constitute a 'change in nature' of the Plan. If it is considered there is a 'change in nature' a referendum will follow the examination, however the Parish Council are of the opinion that the proposed changes do not constitute a 'change in nature'.

The Pre-Submission Plan

1.6 This is the opportunity for the Parish Council to formally consult on the proposed vision, objectives and policies of the Draft Neighbourhood Plan Review (the Pre-Submission Plan). The Parish Council has reviewed the relevant national and local planning policies and assessed how they affect this area. It has also gathered its own evidence and its reports are published in the appendices and separately in the evidence base.

Strategic Environmental Assessment & Habitat Regulations Assessment

1.7 Once the proposed scope of the Neighbourhood Plan Review had been agreed, MKCC indicated that a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA, under the Environmental Assessment of Plans & Programmes Regulations 2004) would not be

required. It formalised this view in its 'screening opinion' of May 2023, having consulted the statutory bodies, as required. This opinion is published as a separate document.

1.8 That same document also screened for the potential of the Plan to have any significant effect on a European-designated nature site, in accordance with the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC ('the habitats directive'). MKCC and Natural England concluded that an Appropriate Assessment under the directive would not be necessary.

Next Steps

1.9 Once this consultation is complete, the Parish Council will review the comments made, assess where amendments are required, and prepare a final version of the Plan. This will be submitted to MKCC for a further consultation and to arrange for its examination by an independent examiner.

Reg 14 Consultation

1.10 If you have comments to make on this plan, please do so by **Tuesday 23rd July** at the latest in the following ways"

By post:

The Pavilion, Hanslope Recreation Ground, Castlethorpe Road, Hanslope, MK19 7LG

By email:


clerk@hanslopeparishcouncil.gov.uk

Further information on the Plan and its evidence base can be found on the Parish Council website at:

https://www.hanslopeparishcouncil.org/community/hanslope-parish-council-15401/neighbourhood-plan1/

2. The Neighbourhood Area

2.1 The historic centre of the village of Hanslope village, a designated conservation area, forms the core of a vibrant community. Matching the development of residential properties, there has been over the years, a growing base of small businesses and industries within and surrounding the village in the wider Parish. Plan B shows a map of the conservation area.



Plan B: Hanslope Designated Conservation Area (outlined in Red)

2.2 There are 31 listed buildings in Hanslope, most of which are Grade II Listed, with the exception of the Grade I listed Church of St James the Great. There is also a Scheduled Ancient Monument: the Moated Site south of Long Plantation, Hanslope Park. The historic core of the village was designated a Conservation Area in 1979 and updated in 2023.

2.3 Many of the local farms have, in recent years, converted farm buildings into units for offices and/or light industry, with in addition some others connected with rural activities, such as the equestrian centre off Castlethorpe Road, plus a growing number of 'horse meadows' and related stable blocks. This has created employment as well as providing goods and services.

2.4 While the Parish does not contain any designated sites, to the north-west the Parish boundary lies on the border of Salcey Forest SSSI, an uncommon and extensive example of acid pedunculate oak-hazel-ash high forest.

2.5 Today, a large number of residents commute to work in varied locations outside of the Parish. However, there is also an increasing number of people in the Parish who work from home. As a result, it is vital that the village and the Parish continue to be serviced by up to date telecommunications facilities including full-fibre broadband.

2.6 The Parish also continues to have the unique distinction of having the Foreign and Commonwealth facility located at Hanslope Park. This is home to the largest single employer in North Buckinghamshire. This, as well as bringing some benefits, sometimes results in traffic flow problems.

2.7 The village amenities includes a primary school, the Doctor's surgery, three churches, two public houses and a social club, the Village Hall, recreational and sports field with a sports pavilion and Community Hall, allotments, a butchers/greengrocer, newsagents/takeaway café, a general store and a Chinese takeaway. All are well used and much appreciated by the local community.

2.8 In addition, the rural countryside surrounding the village is 'crisscrossed' by many 'rights of way' and bridleways. The preservation of which is seen as essential for the Parish community to continue to benefit from the walking/pet exercising, horse riding and the observation of the fauna and flora available in this working rural environment.

2.9 The residents take great pride in the appearance of the village, such that in 2016 and in 2017 Hanslope won the title of Best Kept village in North Buckinghamshire.

2.10 The large majority of the 1,000 hectares or so of land in the Parish of Hanslope is principally devoted to agriculture. The village of Hanslope is located roughly centrally in the Parish and together with the linked village of Long Street contains most of the residential properties.

2.11 The National Census of 2021 identified 1,184 dwellings in the parish and some 2,722 residents. Since then, there has been a gradual expansion in the number of houses, with the completion of the three large developments accompanied by expanded and new single dwellings serving as infilling within the village and hamlets in other parts of the parish.

2.12 Except for those in Hanslope and Long Street, the remaining numbers of residential properties are mostly located in the surrounding hamlets of Tathall End, Bullington End, Pindon End, Higham Cross, Hungate End and Salcey Green.

2.13 There have been multiple substantial developments since the original plan was formed, notably 'Hanslope Fields, 'The Wheatfields' and 'Hayfield Homes' contributing in excess of 400 homes. Another smaller development is planned on the former bus garage site in the centre of the village.

3. Planning Policy Context

3.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published by the Government is an important guide in the preparation of local plans and neighbourhood plans. The Neighbourhood Plan must have regard to the provisions of the NPPF and its following paragraphs are especially relevant here (references in line with December 2023 version of the NPPF):

- Neighbourhood Planning (§28 30)
- Support a prosperous rural economy (§88)
- Small and medium-sized sites for housing (§70)
- Achieving well designed places (§131)
- Promoting healthy communities (§97)
- Protecting local green spaces (§105 107)
- Conserving and enhancing the natural environment (§180)
- Conserving and enhancing the historic environment (§196)

3.2 The Levelling Up and Regeneration Act (LURA) received Royal Assent on 26 October 2023. The Act demonstrates a continued support of Neighbourhood Planning, including the introduction of new 'Neighbourhood Priorities Statements' (NPS).

3.3 It is also important that the Neighbourhood Plan is in 'general conformity' with the strategic policies of the development plan. The strategic policies of the development plan are contained within Plan: MK.

Plan: MK

3.4 Plan: MK covers the period to 2031 and sets the spatial direction for the next period of growth of the city and its rural area. One of its main objectives is "to seek the protection of existing key services and facilities in sustainable rural settlements and to encourage the development of further provision, including shops, world-class schools, community and health services."

3.5 The most relevant policies for the Parish are:

- DS1 Settlement Hierarchy providing for housing development within defined settlement boundaries as determined by neighbourhood plans
- DS2 Housing Strategy providing for small to medium scale development within rural settlements, appropriate to the size, function and role of each settlement to be delivered through allocations in neighbourhood plans currently being prepared.
- DS5 Open Countryside permission only for development appropriate to a rural area that cannot be located within a settlement
- ER11 Protection of Local Shops, Post Offices, Banks and Public Houses avoiding loss of local retail facilities
- HN1 Housing Mix and Density principles for new schemes
- HN10 Rural Exception Sites providing for small-scale affordable housing schemes
- CT1 Sustainable Transport Network principles for locating new development
- CT2 Movement & Access principles for accessing new development

- NE4 Green Infrastructure principles for protection and enhancement through new development
- NE5 Conserving and Enhancing Landscape Character protecting and enhancing local character
- HE1 Heritage & Development protecting and enhancing heritage assets
- L2 Protection of Open Space & Existing Facilities avoiding loss of open space and recreation facilities
- D1-D3 Design a series of principles for new development
- CC3 Protection of Community Facilities avoiding loss of community facilities

3.6 In overall terms, the strategy for the rural areas of the Borough has not changed significantly over many years, and the Plan: MK strategy is not markedly different. Growth has been focused on the city and at a small number of towns and larger villages, with infilling in smaller settlements. Development boundaries are used to distinguish between urban and rural, with the encouragement of sustainable development within settlements and general protection of the countryside beyond.

3.7 The most important shift signalled in Plan: MK is the removal of the 'selected villages' tier in the hierarchy of settlements in the Borough and of rural housing targets. Instead, its "approach to delivering new development in villages and other rural settlements now places the emphasis on neighbourhood plans", in line with their position in the hierarchy of settlements in the Borough.

3.8 The Neighbourhood Plan has therefore adopted a positive approach to planning the future of Hanslope and Long Street over the period to 2031.

Emerging MK Local Plan

3.9 MKCC undertook its Regulation 18 consultation of the emerging MK City Plan 2050 in July – October 2024. The most recently published timeline forecasts the Regulation 19 consultation to take place in February – March 2025, with formal submission for examination following in May 2025.

4. Community Views on Planning Issues

4.1 The development of the Hanslope Neighbourhood Development Plan was guided by the community's view on planning issues as outlined in Appendix 2 and in the supporting documents to this plan.

5. Vision, Objectives & Land Use Policies

Vision

5.1 The vision for the Hanslope Parish Neighbourhood Plan area is that by 2031:

"Hanslope Parish and the central village will be a place with a sense of community and distinctive identity, where people choose to stay, to live and in some cases work in a rural environment, encouraged by the excellent school, health care and community facilities.

Hanslope will recognise and protect its natural assets and its important rural heritage and culture will be cherished. The village and hamlets are defined by the surrounding 'working' agricultural landscape, which in turn through rights of way and bridleways, allows all residents to benefit from the rural environment.

Hanslope village will continue to support its retail, catering outlets and leisure facilities.

The retail and catering outlets include a butchers/greengrocers, newsagents/takeaway café, a general store and a Chinese takeaway, three public houses, and a social club. The leisure outlets include a well-used village hall, sports and recreational areas with a sports pavilion, scout/guide hall and over 70 allotment plots.

Community facilities are also available through the use at non-service times of the church and the chapels in the village.

Wherever possible, we will facilitate them with convenient car parking and pedestrian areas.

Hanslope will as far as outside influences allow, strive to manage the traffic flow through the village, to prevent congestion and improve road safety for vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists and horses."

Objectives

- 5.2 To achieve this vision, the following plan objectives have been adopted:
 - 1. The integrity of the village environment and the rural nature of the Parish will be protected and future residential development should wherever possible, be of a small-scale nature on sustainable locations that do not threaten to overwhelm the existing village and Parish infrastructure.
 - 2. New developments within the conservation area should improve and enhance its visual appearance and the key environmental features within a historical context.
 - 3. New development on rural land outside of the village boundaries should be limited in scope.

- 4. Landscape views will be protected and the green infrastructure surrounding the village and within the Parish will be maintained and improved.
- 5. The existing variety of retail and catering outlets, both within the conservation area and the wider village will be maintained and improved (within the context of Objectives 1, 2, 3 and 4) and we will encourage other light industrial service facilities and employment use to be located in other parts of the Parish.
- 6. Existing community services and facilities, particularly the school and health services, sports, recreation, play facilities and the village hall will be maintained and improved (within the context of Objectives 1,2, 3 and 4).
- 7. Traffic flows throughout the wider village should be calmed and managed to prevent congestion and improve road safety for vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists, horses and horse-drawn vehicles.

Monitoring & Review Policy

5.3 The Parish Council will monitor the Plan Review by reviewing planning application decisions and using data reported by MKCC in its planning monitoring reports. The objectives will form the core of monitoring activity, but other data collected and reported at a local level relevant to the Plan may also be included. It is expected that a more thorough review will follow this iteration of the Neighbourhood Plan. There are certain policy areas, for example green infrastructure, which the Parish Council have decided not to further explore at this current time, with the intention of reviewing in more detail further down the line. By the time the next Neighbourhood Plan is prepared, it is anticipated that MKCC will have submitted or adopted the MK City Plan 2050.

Land Use Planning Policies

5.4 Land use policies are used to determine planning applications made for development proposals. They can establish the principles for retaining or changing the use of land in settlements. They can also set out the conditions against which development proposals will be judged in terms of their design, access etc.

5.5 Policies must be clearly written so they can be easily applied when considering planning applications. The plan deliberately avoids repeating existing national or local planning policies. The proposed policies, therefore, focus on the key development issues in the area as expressed by the community. For all other planning matters, the national and local policies of other planning documents – the National Planning Policy Framework and the adopted policies from the Milton Keynes development plan will continue to be used.

5.6 Set out below are the proposed policies of the plan. Each policy has a number and title and the policy itself is written in bold italics for ease of reference. There is also a short statement explaining the intention of the policy and any other relevant background information. At the end of this document are a set of Policies Maps. Where a policy refers to a specific site or area then it is shown on a Map.

Policy HAN1: Hanslope and Long Street Development Boundaries

The Neighbourhood Plan defines the development boundary of the village of Hanslope and of the small settlement of Long Street, as shown on the Policies Maps, for the purpose of applying the relevant development plans policies relating to proposals inside or outside the boundaries.

5.7 The settlement boundary defines the built-up area of Hanslope and Long Street villages and incorporates the housing allocations of Policy HAN2.

5.8 The Plan Review updates the development boundaries to incorporate the Hayfields development to the south of the Parish, which has been completed since the original Neighbourhood Plan was made.

5.9 Consideration was given to a number of surrounding hamlets within the Parish boundary, however it was decided that these all remained too small for the designation of their own development boundary.

5.10 The policy is consistent with Plan: MK Policy DS1 in only supporting housing development within the defined boundaries identified in the made Neighbourhood plan.

Policy HAN2 Housing Development Sites

Proposals for residential development on following sites, as shown on the Policies Maps, will be supported, provided they are in accordance with the design principles set out in policies HAN3 and HAN4 of the Neighbourhood Plan:

- Site A Land that contained the former bus garage, near the Long Street, Gold Street, Castlethorpe Road junction for 8houses
- Site B Land comprising redundant garages and car park off Williams Close for approx. 8 units

5.11 The purpose of this policy is to guide and provide policy context in the event that any of those permissions lapse or that revised proposals are submitted. In addition, it supports the redevelopment of ancillary housing land within the defined development boundary. As such the policy is consistent with Policy DS2 of Plan:MK.

5.12 As clearly indicated in Section 4 Community Views, and Section 5 the Vision and Objectives of this plan, the residents of Hanslope are extremely concerned to protect the integrity of both the village environment and the rural nature of the Parish.

5.13 But, residents are aware of the problems facing the local and national housing situation, particularly regarding the needs of first-time buyers, those wishing to downsize and those with special needs who also wish to remain within the Village/Parish. They accept the need for ongoing sustainable development that is appropriate to the village and the rural environment.

5.14 Plan: MK Policy DS2 only provides for small to medium scale development within rural settlements and these should only be brought forward through Neighbourhood Plans. There is, therefore, no strategic policy steer for the development boundaries in the Parish to be modified in any significant way. However, the Parish Council wishes the Plan to have a long and effective duration for the full plan period and to be positive about development.

5.15 The made Neighbourhood Plan included a third housing development site (site C) which has delivered a total of 141 homes on the land to the west of Long Street Road, adjacent to Folly Farm. As the scale of this greenfield development on the edge of the village is significant, it was not considered necessary to look outside the modified development boundary to support the development of any other land. This allocation has been removed from the policy.

5.16 The two remaining small brownfield sites (A and B) within the development boundary have been reviewed and it is considered appropriate to retain them as allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan. The policy is intended to encourage proposals to come forward for the beneficial reuse of the land.

Policy HAN3: Design in the Hanslope Conservation Area

Development proposals in the Conservation Area, as shown on the Policies Map, will be supported, provided they sustain and enhance the character and appearance of the Conservation Area and the setting of its listed buildings and other heritage assets and they have specific regard to the following design principles and to the guidance set out in the Hanslope Character & Design Statement:

- Plot sizes and layout arrangements generally allow for off road parking
- Common buildings materials are red brick and Jurassic yellow stone, with frontage walls being full or part rendered and common roof materials are clay tile, slate and thatch
- The common building height is two storeys, with occasional single storey bungalows and some of two and a half storeys and no precedent for buildings that are any taller
- There are a number of buildings and spaces that are prominent in the Conservation Area that play a role in defining the special character of a street scene or the setting of historic buildings
- The presence of mature trees, large shrubs and grassed or planted verges in the street scene of many parts of the Conservation Area play an important role in defining its special character.

5.17 This policy identifies a series of design principles that establish the key characteristics of the Conservation Area. The general design and development principles applying in the Area and across the Parish are set out in Policy HAN4.

5.18 The principles have been identified in the appraisal of the Area, which is covered in the Character and Design Statement in Appendix 1. The policy intention is to guide applicants in designing their proposals, but it does not require adherence to every principle. However, where a proposal contradicts one or more of these principles, then it is expected that the planning application, Design & Access Statement, where provided, will provide a justification.

5.19 Sustaining the visual and historic integrity of the Conservation Area and its historic buildings, street landscape and key environmental features such as trees, recreational areas, green spaces and ponds, emerged as key issues from the consultations. In 2016, following the efforts of many residents, supported by the Parish Council, the village first won the **De Fraine Cup**, for the best-kept village in Buckinghamshire, followed in 2017 by the **Tindall Cup** for the overall best-kept village in Buckinghamshire. The Best Kept Village competition is not just for *pretty villages* but for ones where communities make an effort to keep their surroundings well maintained. Hanslope will strive to maintain this standard.

Policy HAN4: Design and Development Principles in the Parish

Development proposals will be supported, provided they have full regard to the following design and development principles and to the guidance set out in the Hanslope Character & Design Statement:

- Housing types and tenures should respond to the identified needs of the Parish, including affordable housing for those with local connections to the Parish
- Housing schemes should provide a mix of housing types and housing styles that reflect the design guidance in the Design and Character Statement
- All proposals located within the setting of the Conservation Area or within the setting of other heritage assets outside the Conservation Area must sustain and enhance the special character and appearance of the Area and of those assets
- All proposals must protect and reinforce, where appropriate, the existing green spaces
- All proposals should maintain key views, as shown on the Policies Map, both within and from the village and respect the wider landscape setting of Hanslope
- No proposal should exceed two and a half storeys in building heights
- All proposals should protect and, where appropriate improve, local paths and bridleways and any existing natural features on the site such as trees, hedges and ponds
- All proposals should have regard to their effects on the local highway network and should ensure that they can achieve safe access

5.20 This policy complements Policy HAN3 by setting out a series of design and development principles that will apply to proposals throughout the Parish.

5.21 The Design & Character Statement identifies a number of locations where the edge of the Conservation Area and key buildings within it are visible and where there is, therefore, the potential for inappropriate designs to harm its character. These are notably on Park Road/Manor Close/Weavers End, on St. James Close/Castlethorpe Road, on Long Street Road/Eastfield Drive and on Newport Road. More generally, and beyond the setting of the Conservation Area in the more modern parts of the village and at Long Street, the policy requires proposals to reflect the character of their surroundings. This need not prevent innovation in design, but it places the onus on the applicant to show why there is an opportunity to realise such a scheme that does not reflect that character as identified in the Character and Design Statement.

5.22 The policy, and Policies Map, identifies a series of views in the Parish that are considered an important element of preserving the character of the village and the surrounding landscape. Development which would obstruct such a view, or which would lead to a detrimental impact on the view should not be permitted. Each of the important views identified is shown on the Policies Map, whilst a photograph and a description of each view is shown in Appendix 3.

5.23 All the key views except 'Key View G' were identified in the made Neighbourhood Plan. As part of the review process, a review of the key views was carried out which led to updates to the origin point of some of the key views. This was a result of recent developments which have most significantly affected the views towards the Tove Valley (westward out of Hanslope Village). This review process also led to the identification of one additional view 'Key View G' to woodland across open fields to the north east of Hanslope Village.

5.24 The effects of through traffic on the village is already obvious and worsening each year along the main north-south route, i.e. Long Street Road, Gold Street and Park Road. This route is used as both a rural route for commuters between Northampton to the north, passing through Salcey Forest which is an SSSI and well used as an amenity area for ramblers and walkers, and Milton Keynes to the south, as well, as servicing the Hanslope Park facility on the southern edge of the Parish. It is important that further new housing development in Hanslope, other than that already allocated, does not make these increasingly severe traffic issues any worse. Proposals to change commercial, business and service uses to another use will be resisted unless the proposal is able to demonstrate that neither the location nor the existing premises are viable for that purpose.

Proposals for new Class E (a), (b), (g) development will be supported within the Development Boundary defined by Policy HAN1, provided they comply with other policies of the development plan.

Proposals for a change of use resulting in the loss of a farm shop or public house will be resisted unless it can be demonstrated that the location and premises are no longer viable for such purposes.

5.25 This policy seeks to prevent the unnecessary loss of land and premises in established commercial, business and service uses (Class E), insofar as planning permission is required for proposals, and to encourage new uses within the development boundary.

5.26 The village has a number of established commercial premises on High Street and Gold Street and in Long Street the Globe public house (but recognising that not all the buildings are in such use). There is currently a good balance of retail and catering facilities serving the community within the Parish. The village's light industrial service facilities (such as garages, car repair, bus depots) of previous years have closed and in some instances relocated to other parts of the Parish (e.g. into redundant farm buildings). However, as the village expands there will be a greater need to preserve the existing facilities and help them develop.

5.27 The policy provision to resist the loss of a farm shop or public house is supported by NPPP §88(d) in regards to retaining public houses to support the rural economy and NPPF §97(a) in regards to providing the facilities and services the community needs.

Policy HAN6: Rural Economic Development

Development proposals to expand or to diversify existing rural employment sites will be supported, provided:

- i. They are confined to the redevelopment of existing buildings or infilling between existing buildings or the development of new build development adjoining existing buildings;
- ii. They include a landscape scheme that will effectively screen the site from the surrounding countryside; and
- iii. Their design conforms to other policies of the development plan.

5.28 This policy encourages proposals to create new jobs in Hanslope village only as Long Street is not a sustainable location for employment development.

5.29 Unlike other non-rural areas, the link between houses and jobs in the Parish is not direct as many of those of working age who reside in the Parish commute to jobs outside of the Parish. Nevertheless, there is a benefit in creating sustainable employment opportunities within the Parish in appropriate locations. Except for those in the education and health sectors, employment opportunities within the wider village are mainly limited to the retail and catering facilities. Others, such as light industrial service facilities should be located in other parts of the Parish.

5.30 With the mechanisation of agriculture, the employment opportunities provided by the farms within the Parish are limited, but today many also serve as appropriate locations for light industry service and offices within re-developed redundant farm buildings. While this development should be welcomed, there is still a need to preserve the rural environment in which these are located, by preventing the over development of sites.

Policy HAN7: Community Facilities

The Neighbourhood Plan identifies the following as Community Facilities serving the Parish:

- i. The village hall
- ii. The recreation ground, including the Pavilion and adjacent Community Hall
- iii. The children's play areas
- iv. The church of St James, Hanslope Methodist Chapel and Hanslope Gospel Hall.
- v. Hanslope Primary School
- vi. The Doctor's Surgery

Proposals to improve the viability of a community facility by way of the extension or partial redevelopment of existing buildings will be supported, provided the design of the scheme and the resulting increase in use is appropriate in design terms and will not harm the amenities of adjoining residential properties.

Improvements to the Doctors' Surgery using funding from developments will be supported provided they are compliant with other policies contained within this Plan.

Development proposals for facilities for young people will be supported, provided appropriate management arrangements can be made.

5.31 The policy identifies community facilities in the Parish that will be protected from change of use in line with the provisions of Plan: MK (Policy CC3). These facilities are valued by the community and offer a valuable resource to support community life, and therefore warrant protection of policies in Plan: MK.

5.32 The need to protect and improve community facilities was a clear theme from the 2016 and 2022 Parish surveys. There was, for example, a particular concern that both the excellent medical services provided through the existing medical practice should be maintained, as should the excellence of the village school (highlighted in OFSTED inspections). There is also a recognition that there is a lack of facilities specifically for young people.

5.33 The existing village hall, although an old building, is regarded as an excellent facility that has, through the efforts of the community, been steadily improved and modernised over the years. This upgrading needs to continue, leveraging in additional funding from development or grant sources wherever possible.

Policy HAN8: Local Green Spaces

The Neighbourhood Plan designates the following Local Green Spaces, as shown on the Policies Map:

- (A)The Recreation Ground
- (B)The new managed 'wild area' located at the bottom of the Hanslope Fields, bordering Green End lane
- (C)The Manor Farm permanent meadow to the rear of Church End
- (D)The Allotments
- (E)Village Pond
- (F)Old Oak Tree and surrounding grassed area
- (G)Wheatfields Green Space
- (H)Lincoln Court amenity space
- (I)Community Orchard by village hall, Newport Road

Proposals for development in a Local Green Space will be resisted unless very special circumstances can be demonstrated.

5.34 The policy designates five new Local Green Spaces (E, F, G, H, I) and retains the four original designations (A, B, C, D) from the made Neighbourhood Plan, in accordance with §105 - 107 of the NPPF and Plan: MK Policies L2 and L3. A designation has the policy effect of the equivalence of the Green Belt in terms of the definition of 'inappropriate development' consistent with §105 and §148 of the NPPF and of the 'very special circumstances' tests in the NPPF when determining planning applications located within a designated Local Green Space.

5.35 A review of all open land within and adjoining the neighbourhood area has been completed, informed by the qualifying criteria in the NPPF. The land is considered to meet those criteria and is therefore worthy of designation as illustrated and justified in the Local Green Space report (Appendix 4). In the Parish Council's judgement, each designation is capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period having regard to NPPF §105.

5.36 The policy has been reviewed in relation to the consideration in the Court of Appeal (2020 EWCA Civ 1259) of an equivalent policy in a neighbourhood plan in Mendip District. Policy HAN8 follows the matter-of-fact approach in the NPPF. In the event that development proposals come forward on the Local Green Spaces within the Plan period, they can be assessed on a case-by-case basis by MKCC. It will be able to make an informed judgement on the extent to which the proposal concerned demonstrates the 'very special circumstances' required by the policy.

Policy HAN9: Green Infrastructure

Proposals for new development that is of a scale to require the provision of on-site open space will be supported especially if the design of open space is integral to the scheme and is effectively connected to any adjoining green infrastructure assets. Proposals for smaller infill schemes should also seek to realise any opportunities to connect their landscape schemes with adjoining green infrastructure assets.

Where appropriate, developers will be expected to enter into a planning obligation to secure satisfactory arrangements for the long-term management of this open space.

Where it is appropriate, new development will be required to propose biodiversity mitigation strategies with the aim of delivering a net biodiversity gain for the loss of any value identified in accordance with the latest national and strategic policies. New development will also be required to retain trees and hedgerows and to secure opportunities to create connected habitats suitable for species adaption to climate change. Where they are unavoidably lost, replacement trees and hedgerows using indigenous species must be planted. All proposals for development in the Plan area must ensure that any potential impacts upon rare and threatened species are fully assessed, and that, where necessary, mitigation measures are incorporated to safeguard and protect those species.

Proposals to extinguish or to divert significantly an existing footpath because of development schemes will be resisted. Development proposals that enable the provision of new footpaths, bridleways and cycleways in and around the village will be supported, provided that they are in accordance with other policies of this Neighbourhood Plan and have regard to the principles of the district-wide green infrastructure strategy.

5.37 This policy serves a number of purposes, all of which are intended to ensure that the existing green infrastructure assets in the Parish are protected and enhanced wherever possible through the management of new development proposals. The policy now refers to the requirements of the Environment Act 2021 to ensure that biodiversity net gain meets new national requirements.

5.38 Within the wider Parish, the community's key view as indicated in the 2016 Parish Survey was of the importance of maintaining the agricultural base and the integrity of the rural environment. This necessitates the wider Parish community and the agricultural landowners/users work in greater partnership. The former need to accept that to maintain the farming environment (particularly with regard to the implications of Brexit), reasonable farm diversification plans (e.g. reuse of farm buildings, new methods of growing crops, appropriate scales for new energy generation) should, if appropriate, be looked upon favourably. In return, the latter would expect that the agricultural landowners/users would do as much as possible to preserve and maintain the rural environment for the benefit of the wider community (e.g. upkeep of rights of way and bridleways and hedgerows and green areas for wildlife).

5.39 This may extend to the further protection of the green infrastructures that surround the village. However, intrusive development such as the erection of large scale new energy generation facilities, for example, wind farms, bio/waste facilities, solar energy on inappropriate highly visible greenfield sites, or new or expanded industrial complexes, would not be considered appropriate to the rural nature of the designated area. It is strongly believed that green infrastructure also provides multiple benefits for people, including recreation, health and well-being, access to nature, opportunities for food growing and resilience to climate change.

Policy HAN10: Local Gap

The Neighbourhood Plan defines a Local Gap, as shown on the Policies Map, in order to prevent the visual coalescence of Hanslope and Long Street and to protect its setting. Development proposals that lie within the defined Local Gap must be located and designed in such a way as to prevent the visual coalescence of the settlements.

5.40 The policy seeks to protect the essential countryside character of the area between Hanslope and Long Street, in order to prevent visual coalescence between these separate settlements and to protect their distinctive individual character and setting. The policy complements Policies DS5 and NE5 of Plan: MK and it applies similar principles but at a Parish scale.

5.41 Milton Keynes Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) notes that away from Hanslope village and its considerable increase in residential development, the settlement pattern consists of small farmsteads and hamlets that have developed into satellite settlements. The landscape retains a rural character with several Public Rights of Way crossing the Parish and panoramic views across the Ouse Valley to the south and the Tove Valley to the west with Salcey Forest forming a wooded backdrop to the north.

5.42 In an appeal decision (APP/Y0435/W/21/3282446), the Planning Inspector commented 'Long Street and Hanslope are distinctly separate settlements and should be treated as such... there is a clear change in experience from the settlement of Hanslope to the settlement of Long Street, they are both distinct from each other.' The existing field pattern provides an effective landscape break between the two settlements and provides an essential gap where any development will result in the merging of the two settlements. Milton Keynes Landscape Appraisal confirms that the existing landscape character should be maintained and not be eroded through further development.

5.43 Development permitted under this policy will be determined by the Local Planning Authority (MKCC), the policy does not seek to prevent any development but requires that proposals recognise and take account of the principles in this policy.

6. Implementation

Development Management

6.1 The Neighbourhood Plan policies will be implemented through a combination of the local planning authority's consideration and determination of planning applications for development, and through steering public and private investment into a series of infrastructure proposals contained in the plan.

6.2 Most of the policies contained in the Plan will be delivered by landowners and developers. In preparing the Plan, care has therefore been taken to ensure, as far as possible, the policies are achievable.

6.3 Whilst the local planning authority will be responsible for the development management, the Parish will use the Plan to frame its representations on submitted applications. It will also work with MKCC to monitor the progress of sites coming forward for development.

Hanslope Park

6.4 While the Parish has very little, control over the continued development of Hanslope Park, the Parish Council sees all the planning applications which relate to the Park. The impact of the activity at Hanslope Park nevertheless affects the Parish, particularly regarding traffic to and from the facility. In terms of housing, while some of those who work at Hanslope Park are known to reside within the village, because of its nature, the facility draws staff from a very wide catchment area. Currently, it is not believed to create further undue pressure on the housing stock within the Parish.

6.5 In the future, this may change and as with the traffic, there may be a need to work more closely with Hanslope Park, to be forewarned over its future impact on the Parish and how this can be better accommodated.

Traffic

6.6 Resident's concern over traffic flow and management through the 'wider village' are fully recognised. Although it is beyond the scope of the Plan, there is still a need to address these issues throughout the Parish. In particular, to improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists and for the equine fraternity on which the Parish Council will continue to focus.

6.7 Further key issues that emerged from the residents' consultation regarded mitigating the problem of traffic flow along Long Street Road/Gold Street/Park Road and Castlethorpe Road, together with the connected parking issues.

Chief concerns relate to:

a) The volume of traffic passing through the village during the week.


b) The speed of traffic, particularly on the entrances and exits to the village (Newport Road, Castlethorpe Road, Park Road, Forest Road, Hartwell Road and Long Street Road), as indicated by evidence from the Speed Indicator Devices and the PC's new Speedwatch volunteer group. c) Parking in a manner likely to cause problems for both pedestrians and other road users. It also affects the doctor's surgery on Castlethorpe Road near the bus stop opposite the surgery and around the school at pick up and drop off times. The PC worked hard with the developers to mitigate some parking problems by providing a car park off Castlethorpe Road and a school drop off point on the Hanslope Fields estate next to the school's multi use games area.

6.8 Related to this is the need to both maintain the existing public transport links and encourage improvement where funding allows.


Infrastructure Projects

6.9 The Parish Council has made significant progress, working with the local community, in delivering community projects and improving the quality of life in the parish for all residents.

POLICIES MAPS



Ordnance Survey © Crown Copyright 2022. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432



Ordnance Survey © Crown Copyright 2022. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

APPENDIX 1 - Character and Design Statement

Hanslope Parish and Village Conservation Area: Contents

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Background
- 1.2Planning Policy Context
- 1.3Objectives
- 1.4Location
- 1.5Geology and Hinterland
- 1.6 Historic Development

2. Character and Design Statement

2.1 Introduction2.2 Location/Views2.3 Historic Buildings

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

- Within the terms of the Neighbourhood Plan, the 'designated area' is the Parish of Hanslope as a whole.
- This document is an appraisal of the historic character of both the wider Parish of Hanslope, the villages of Hanslope and Long Street and Hanslope Village Conservation area (Designated as a Conservation area in 1979).
- The Parish of Hanslope together with the villages of Hanslope and Long Street contains a number of historic buildings and spaces that in combination, create a distinctive environment. It is the quality of this environment that is summarised in this Character and Design Statement.
- In addition to the historic buildings in the Parish, the contributions made to the village's "special character" by topography, views, trees, the surrounding countryside and by contemporary development, are also taken into account.

1.2 Planning Policy Context - Conservation Areas

- Section 69.1a of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 places a duty on local planning authorities to identify those parts of their area that are of 'special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance' and to designate them as conservation areas.
- Conservation areas are a local designation intended to protect the quality and interest of an area rather than individual buildings. The designation of a conservation area thus essentially provides additional controls over demolition, and also strengthens controls over minor development and the protection of trees.
- It is recommended that Conservation areas should be reviewed from time to time with reference to the qualities that were originally thought to be of interest and the boundary redrawn to take in new areas and exclude others as necessary.
- A review of the Hanslope conservation area was carried out in March 2018 with a view to possibly extending the area to the south-east along Park Road to take in Vicarage Court, and east along Newport Road to take in Hazel Row and north from the garden of the Watts Arms to Kitelee Close.


1.3 Objectives

In response to the duty placed on Milton Keynes Council to identify those parts of its area that are of 'special architectural or historic interest' this Character and Design Statement document has two objectives:

- 1. To review those historic and architectural features and qualities that combine to create special character, interest and sense of place and by doing so, provide the basis for their protection.
- 2. To consider ways of managing and wherever possible improving, the quality of the wider Parish of Hanslope, the Village and the Conservation Area.

1.4 Location (See Map A)

- The village of Hanslope sits on a wide ridge of high ground in North
 Buckinghamshire (situated at 112 metres above mean sea level). It is
 surrounded by agricultural land which forms the majority of the land area of the Parish (which comprises of about 1000 hectares).
 See Map A Hanslope Parish and the surrounding area,
- The village sits within the centre of the Parish and is almost equidistant between the towns of Northampton to the north and Milton Keynes to the south-east. The road transport links to these towns have remained largely unchanged since they were unsurfaced country lanes.



Map A: Hanslope parish and the surrounding area,

1.5 Geology and Hinterland

The wide ridge on which the village sits overlooks gently rolling countryside, the underlying base of which throughout the Parish is, according to the British Geological Survey, made up of Great Oolite Series limestone and Upper Lias Clays formed in the Middle Jurassic period.

The land to the west and to the south-west of the Parish slopes down to the valley of the River Tove, while the land to the south and to the east slopes down to the valley of the River Great Ouse (of which the Tove is a tributary, joining it at Cosgrove). To the north, the Parish is bounded by Salcey Forest, while the northeastern part of the Parish is dissected by the M1.

1.6 Historic Development

The village of Hanslope itself goes back many centuries and known records exist from the 12th Century. However, the establishment of the village is thought to go back much further than this. Both prehistoric and Romano British ornaments have been found nearby.

What is fairly certain is that both Hanslope and its neighbouring village Castlethorpe were in existence at the time of the incursions by the Danes into the Saxon realms of East Anglia and Mercia in the 9th and 10th centuries.


The river Great Ouse was the boundary for a while of the Danelaw, the territory administered by the Danes. Hanslope's 'castle' was built at Castlethorpe ('thorpe' is the old English name for 'settlement') by the Saxons and the original church would also have dated from that time.

Following the Norman invasion in 1066, Hanslope appears in the Doomesday Book, with the Saxon lord of the manor – Aldene, being replaced by Winemar the Fleming. The holding had passed to William Maudit. In the early 1100s and he was granted permission to have the church built on its present site.

The previous church, thought to have been built in Saxon times, was outside the village, located it is believed, somewhere between Ivy Farm and the present vicarage.

The village and Parish are still dominated by the spire of the Hanslope church of St James the Great, which is one of the tallest spires in the area and visible from miles around (and is still used as a navigation way marker by many civilian and military aircraft).

The size of the church reflects the wealth that the area derived from agriculture during the mediaeval period. For example, in 1293 when the village had passed into the control of the Earldom of Warwick, it was granted the right to hold a weekly market and also an annual fair, which was held for 15 days in July coinciding with the church's patronal festival on St James Day – 25 July.



The church of St James the Great

To a large extent the layout of the village today still reflects its agricultural history, and the agricultural practice common throughout north Buckinghamshire, Northamptonshire and Leicestershire with the farm holdings radiating out from farmsteads within the village. Until the early 1970's many of these farmhouses and buildings were still within the village boundary, but since then many have moved to outlying areas, with the farm area within the village redeveloped for housing and other uses, for example, Rectory/Manor Farm, Stocking Green Farm and Malting Farm.

At the beginning of the 20th century, most of the land in Hanslope was owned by Squire Watts's family who resided at Hanslope Park and it was farmed by tenant farmers. Many farms at that time struggled to be profitable and some farms were in a state of disrepair. There were more small farms and most would have been grass with sheep, cattle and horses. The grass fields would retain the ridge and furrow feature from feudalism, which can still be seen in some of the permanent pastures surrounding the village.

Following the celebrated murder of Squire Watts in 1912, the Watts family held the estate until 1939, when it was sold to the Hesketh family at Easton Neston, Towcester.



Squire Watts memorial plaque – located near the entrance to Hanslope Park

The Manor House at Hanslope Park was requisitioned by the War Office in 1941 and became the listening post for the code breakers at Bletchley Park. The famous code-breaker Alan Turing was actually based at Hanslope Park from August 1944, where it is believed he developed his designs for the Automated Computer Engine. This government facility has subsequently grown in size into the current large secure facility occupied today by the Foreign and Commonwealth Office.

Thomas Fermor-Hesketh died in 1944. His wife Florence, the Dowager Lady Hesketh died in 1956, which resulted in the sale of land to pay the Death Duties. The tenant farmers of Hanslope were offered their farms and most took this opportunity to buy the freehold.

After the war, the government support to the farm sector increased. the farms benefited from mechanisation and there was a movement from grass to arable. It was obvious that the small fields of the past were not practical for tillage by new machinery and so many hedges were removed and the land was drained with pipes and new ditches. This can be seen in the many large fields that are today farmed within the Parish.

Nowadays, many farms have also amalgamated to make the best use of large modern machines, which incorporate GPS guidance and data collection.

There are a number of farming families in the Parish, who have been part of these changes for several generations.

The National Census of 2001 identified 940 dwellings in the Parish and some 2,217 residents. The majority of these were located in the village of Hanslope, with others mainly in the linked village of Longstreet and smaller numbers in the hamlets of Tathall End, Pindon End, Higham Cross and Hungate End.

The largest residential development in the village until recent times occurred in the 1960s, when the Hesketh estate sold off further land bordering the 'old village' which saw the large scale developments around Western Drive, St James Close and Eastfield Drive.


Since then there has been an expansion in the number of houses, with the small developments of Weavers End/Manor Close, Carriers Close, Kitelee Close, Saxon Close, Cuckoo Hill, Harkness Court, and more recently near the Half Way houses in Longstreet Road. These developments have also been accompanied by expanded and new single dwellings either as 'infilling' within the village and hamlets or in other parts of the Parish.

Recently three new large-scale developments of 150 homes opposite the recreation ground and 101 homes at Cuckoo Hill/Malt Mill Farm off Castlethorpe Rd and 141 houses off Long Street Road have been completed. This together with the planning permission given earlier for a smaller development on the old bus garage site in the centre of the village, and redevelopment of the site of the Globe Public House in Longstreet, will see the largest developments affecting the village for many years. (See Policies Map 3 showing their location).

The National Census of 2021 identified 1184 dwellings in the Parish and some 2,722 residents.

As described, the historic core of the village is a designated a Conservation area that contains many of the 31 listed buildings in Hanslope most of which are listed as Grade II, with the exception of the Grade I listed church of St James the Great. There is also a Scheduled Ancient Monument which is a small moated site, south of Long Plantation, Hanslope Park.

The residents take great pride in the appearance of the village, such that in 2016 and again in 2017 Hanslope won the title of Best Kept village in North Buckinghamshire.



Hanslope Conservation area

The village is not just a historic site, as there has been a growing base of small businesses and industries within and surrounding the village in the wider Parish. Many of the local farms have converted 'redundant' farm buildings into units for offices and/or light industry, such as at Cuckoo Hill farm.

Some are connected to rural activities, such as the Equestrian centre on Castlethorpe Road, plus a growing number of 'horse meadows' and related stable blocks.

These developments have created employment as well as providing goods and services. In addition, an increasing number of people in the Parish work from home, making it vital that the village and the Parish continues to be serviced by modern telecommunication facilities.

The Parish also continues to have the unique distinction with the Foreign and Commonwealth facility at Hanslope Park being home to the largest single employer in North Buckinghamshire.



Hanslope Park

This as well as bringing some benefits also brings with it serious traffic flow problems as a result of heavier volumes of traffic using the rural roads that service the parish. However, these are generated not only by commuters to the 'Park', but also by an increasing volume of traffic seeking to avoid the congested roads leading into Northampton and Milton Keynes, by taking cross country routes through the rural areas.

The National Cycle Network Route 6, also comes through Hanslope, but much of it is located on the existing so-called 'rural' roads.

The village amenities include a primary school, the Doctors Surgery, three churches, two public houses and a social club, the Village Hall, recreational and sport field, with a sports Pavilion and community hall, allotments, a butchers/greengrocer, newsagents/takeaway café, a general store and a Chinese takeaway.

In addition, the rural countryside surrounding the village is 'crisscrossed' by many public rights of way and bridleways, whose preservation is essential for the Parish community to continue to benefit from walking/pet exercising, horse riding and the observation of the fauna and flora available in this working rural environment.

2. Character and Design Statement

2.1 Introduction

The character and design statement attempt to summarise the special characteristics of both the wider Parish of Hanslope and the village.

The character of the Parish and the village is fundamentally influenced by its topography and its history as a village servicing what is still an important agricultural area.

Throughout the Parish and within and around the village and there are many significant views. Looking from any location outside towards the village the skyline is dominated by the spire of the church of St James the Great.

In many ways, the wider Parish, the village of Hanslope and the linked Longstreet together with the outlying hamlets are a cohesive entity deriving their significance from all the constituent elements.

Thus any change to one aspect of the wider Parish/village's special character could cause harm to the value of the wider historic settlement as a unified entity. Development proposals, conservation area management planning and Local Authority planning decisions should, therefore, take these issues into account.

2.2 Location/Views

Hanslope's location on the wide clay ridge provides **significant views** out across the open countryside, particularly out across the Tove Valley to the west and further across the line of the railway and the A5, to the wooded uplands stretching from Whittlewood Forest to Silverstone.

To the south-west across the Tove Valley and to the south across the Great Ouse there are wide views of Milton Keynes from Wolverton to Newport Pagnell. To the north, there are wide vistas as far as Roade and the looming presence of Salcey Forest, while to the east the views stretch out to the villages, woods and agricultural land of the Ouse valley

While the M1 cuts across a northeastern section of the Parish it is largely in a cutting and as a result, its presence, for most in the Parish, is largely unnoticed, except when the wind is from the east, when it directs the noise of the motorway across the village.

See Policies Map that shows these key views.


2.3 Historic Buildings

The village's historic buildings are principally located within the Conservation Area. However, contained within the village envelope, in Long Street and the wider Parish, there are a number of other buildings of historic significance that also make a positive contribution to the character of the designated area.

The significant buildings within the Conservation area include:

 The church of St James the Great- with its spire almost 200 feet high. The church is a local landmark, visible from the M1 motorway and the West Coast main rail line. The chancel is believed to be the oldest part of the church dating from around 1160, including a priest door with distinctly Norman architectural features.

The whole building is largely built of dressed 'Cotswold' type stone, which is a yellow oolitic Jurassic limestone, typical of the type of stone that can be dug out of local quarries. This type of stone can also be seen in numerous older/historic properties around the village and Parish, utilised in both a rough and dressed form.



St James church, priest door with Norman features



St James church, sunlight shows off the colour of the stone

2. Vicarage Court in Park Road is one of four vicarages known to have existed in Hanslope. It was converted into flats in the 1990s and thought to date from the mid 19th century. It is constructed of brick and stone, rendered in places and painted white, with a slate tile roof. The current vicarage is built adjacent to it, of buff coloured bricks with a dark cement tile roof.



The new (left) and one of the older (right) vicarages off Park Road

3. The Old Vicarage sits off the village green and is of red brick construction with slate roof. Bordering the green are a row of old stone-built cottages including one cottage with a thatched roof. A track from the green to the side of the church leads to what is now called Manor Farm. This is an impressive brick and dressed stone building, with the large chimneys typical of circa 18th/19th-century buildings, and mullioned windows with views to the rear to the Tove valley and to Castlethorpe.



View across the Village Green showing one of the oldest thatched cottages in the village and the Old Rectory (centre of the picture)



Manor Farm

4. Market Square is surrounded by a number of older buildings of historical significance. The private house Green Manor of painted stone and tiled roof was formerly the Green Man public house (one of 12 public houses in the village at the beginning of the 20th century). The property known as Horseshoe Cottages used to be several cottages. It is of stone construction with a thatched roof and has a gentle curve. It is one of the few remaining thatched buildings in the village. The historical prevalence of such thatch as a village roofing material is indicated by the existence of old large

'thatchhooks', kept in the church for use in dragging burning thatch off roofs.



Horseshoe Cottages bordering the Market Square

5. At the bottom of Church End Iane leading onto the Market Square is Stafford House, a large stone built house with a tiled roof. In the 18th century, the building became a workhouse, owned by the Hanslope Feoffee charity. Sometime after 1870, it was split into two dwellings, one of which was a general grocery store. It became a private house in the 1960's.



Stafford House, bordering the Market Square

6. The High Street runs from the Market Square to the junction with the top of Castlethorpe Rd (opposite the Watts Arms public house). The Market Square end has more recent red brick houses with tiled roofs, but along the High Street on both sides are buildings dating from various periods. These range from older individual stone buildings, each with individual roofs of slate or tile and in one case thatch, then to a row of terraced houses, with older dark brick and slate roofs at the Watts Arms end. Off the High Street, there is a recent small development of red brick houses in what is now Carriers Close (formerly the site of a petrol station, garage and yard).

Significant buildings include what is today known as the Club, opened in 1896, of painted brick/stone and tiled roof construction with newer flat roof extensions to the rear.



The Club – High Street

In the centre of the High Street, but set back from the road, is the Methodist Chapel, of red brick and slate roof construction, built in 1828. On either side of it are white painted private houses that have had a variety of uses over the years as general stores, drapers and butchers, one of which is now a Chinese takeaway.



Methodist Chapel – High Street

On the opposite side of the road is the current Cock public house, of red brick construction, it was built to replace the original building, demolished in the 1930s.



The Cock public house - High Street

7. The Watts Arms on Castlethorpe Road, dominates the view end of the High Street, as it has done since it was built in 1821, Constructed of brick and stone, painted white with a slate roof.



The Watts Arms – on Castlethorpe Road opposite to the end of the High Street

8. Almost opposite the Watts Arms along Castlethorpe Road, Faith Terrace is a recent development of terraced houses of red brick and tiled roof construction. They were built on the site of what was Spire Garage, which was demolished in the early 1990s. At the end of this terrace stands a white painted brick and rendered building (at the junction with St James Close). This used to be the village doctors surgery until some time in the 1960s, when the new surgery was built. This is situated just a bit further up Castlethorpe Road on the corner of Western Drive. The new surgery is a construction of buff coloured bricks with a dark cement tile roof (matching the houses along Western Drive).



The old Doctors Surgery – at the St James Close junction with Castlethorpe Road



The new Doctors Surgery – at the Western Drive junction with Castlethorpe Rd

9. The roundabout near the Watts Arms is the junction of Castlethorpe Road, with Long Street Road and Gold Street. Gold Street then runs to the Junction with Newport Road (where it merges into Park Road). Along its length are both residential houses and shops, which, as with the High Street, contain buildings dating from various periods built in a variety of styles. This today is the commercial heart of the village and boasts of a butchers shop that also sells vegetables and a SPAR general store. Both are constructed of red brick, with the general store dating from 1921. Near the grocers is Hanslope News which also incorporates a takeaway sandwich bar. This resides in a small painted brick building that used to be the old manual telephone exchange. A new automatic exchange was built on the other side of Gold Street further up towards the Watts Arms roundabout and is of a single storey brick construction.



Hanslope News



Gold Street Stores



The **Butchers**

Next to the butchers is Gold Street Gospel Hall built in 1809 of stone and slate roof construction.



Gold Street Gospel Hall

Opposite Gold Street stores, is a small terrace of houses, constructed of red brick with slate roofs, built in the late 19th century. Alongside these is a rough stone building, now a private house, which used to be the Black Bull public house.



The Black Bull – circa early 1900s

10. At the end of Gold Street opposite the junction with Newport Road, running down from Green Manor is a collection of red brick built houses that are now residential properties but have, over the years, had a variety of uses, Until recently one was a Wine Shop/Off licence. The end rough stone building was the location of the village blacksmith.



Building/yard that used to be home to the village blacksmith

11. Opposite this is a small village green adjoining which is one of the oldest houses in the village. Maltings Farmhouse is stone built and dates from 1624.



Maltings Farmhouse - circa early 1900s

12. Just down from this property along Newport road is Hanslope Village Hall. Built in a combination of rough stone and red brick, with a slate roof, the main part of the building was formerly the Top School, built in 1840. The hall is owned by the Church and leased to the Hanslope Village Hall Trustees on a long term lease (renewed in 2018). Various extensions have been added since and the internal layout has been revised and modernised to provide a hall that is very well used. Users range from Hanslope Pre School, who use the hall every weekday during term time to the many village societies that use it mainly in the evenings and weekends. This includes Hanslope Entertainments Group who, together with other events, has put on a village pantomime every year for the past 40 years. The Village Hall is also host to the meetings of Hanslope Parish Council.



Hanslope Village Hall

APPENDIX 2 - Community Views on Planning Issues

1.1 While the village cannot be viewed in isolation, the community was greatly concerned that all endeavours should be made to keep the village of Hanslope's unique identity as a separate village.

1.2 The results of the Parish Survey, conducted in 2016 to support the development of the Neighbourhood Plan for Hanslope, still supported this view. They clearly showed that 60% of those who replied were reluctant to support any further residential development in either the village or other parts of the Parish, apart from refurbishment and renewal. The large majority of the remaining 40% were only agreeable to see further residential development if it was either one or small numbers of new houses up to ten on appropriate available sustainable sites.

1.3 Residents were asked what concerned them most about future potential residential development in the village. Over 95% indicated that they were 'greatly concerned' to 'some concern' about the potential impact of large- scale development on the following major issues:

- Impact on the environment (e.g. drainage, flooding, biodiversity etc.).
- Impact on heritage views in and around the village.
- Increased parking needs.
- Adequacy of the infrastructure (e.g. roads, water, sewage) to cope.
- Pressure on school places.
- Pressure on the doctor's surgery.
- Increased traffic and traffic congestion.

1.4 The final point is very relevant as it is not just the road infrastructure within the village but also that which services the village which is of greatest concern to many residents, particularly regarding the impact on the Parish of Hanslope Park. The majority of the employees of 'The Park' are believed to live outside of the village and travel by car to work, causing severe morning and evening rush hour congestion, mainly along Forest Road, Long Street Road/Gold Street and Park Road.

1.5 In recent years, this has been exacerbated by large housing developments outside of the Parish (e.g. at Roade). A substantial number of those residents, as well as many others from the Northampton area, increasingly use the country lanes connecting the village to Milton Keynes (and Northampton) as ways of avoiding the congestion on the main arterial routes (such as the A508, B526 and the MI).

1.6 During the process of revising the overall Neighbourhood Plan (NP) document a series of Community Consultation activities were conducted.

These activities included:

- Requesting via social media (local village website) comments on the draft revised NP
- Distributing electronic questionnaire "Your Village Your Voice" to explore the nature of priority community issues
- Distribution of publicity pamphlets advertising that two public consultation meetings would be held on the 29th and 30th October 2023 respectively.
- Conducting the above meetings.

These specific public meetings were structured such that the primary proposed document revisions were identified with the aid of large printed graphics and annotated maps. There was an open public question and answer session and specific audience comments collated accordingly.

The key overall findings of the public consultation, in the context of the scope of the NP revision, were as follows:

- Need to ensure that all changes in the village since the original NP are reflected in the revised plans, such as new houses and associated roads
- Ensure that the NP revisions contain statements encouraging support for future community facilities
- Any future residential development should be limited to the specific sites identified and that no further major developments should be allowed and that no further demands are to be place on the existing community infrastructure

APPENDIX 3 – Key Views Report

| | View Name | Location | Direction and description of View | Key characteristics |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| A | Footpath 054 (at the rear of The Wheatfields estate) | Footpath 054 runs along the estate/field boundary | South westerly view across fields and footpath 062 towards Tove valley | Mixed field pattern Undulating valley slopes Network of PRoWs allowing some access to the landscape Panoramic views over the valley |
| В | Stokes Grove, Hanslope Fields | View from footpath at the rear of the estate behind houses | Westerly facing open expansive landscape over Tove valley with Towcester Racecourse in the distance | Mixed field pattern Undulating valley slopes Network of PRoWs allowing some access to the landscape Panoramic views over the valley |
| С | Cuckoo Hill | From the industrial estate at Cuckoo Hill Farm where it meets open countryside | From the industrial estate views westerly over Tove valley and west coast mainline railway | Mixed field pattern Undulating valley slopes Panoramic views over the valley |
| D | Castlethorpe Road | View across the Recreation Ground to St James Church from the road and car park. | From the public footway along the road, the view looks easterly across the playing fields. | Public open space with playing field and MUGA. Mix of mature native trees, hedges, garden fences and stone walls. Clear view of the church. |
| E | Castlethorpe Road | View from footpath FP002 behind the houses towards St James Church | Footpath FP053 runs between houses along field boundary to link up with FP002 giving northeasterly views of the church. | Farmland mix of pasture and livestock with some medieval ridge and furrow in adjacent fields. Mature mixed native hedgerows border fields. |
| F | Newport Road | The Allotments and Footpaths 006 and 049 | Wide views across fields, over the Ouse valley and towards The Spinney at Hanslope Park. | Mixed field pattern Network of PRoWs allowing some access to the landscape Panoramic views over the fields Mature mixed native hedgerows border fields. |
| G | Newport Road | At the stile on the left, walking away from the village adjacent to the white gates footpath | From an elevated position, the view looks North across field towards Stoke Park Wood | Undulating landscape Farmland - mixture of pasture, arable and livestock Mature mixed native hedgerow field boundaries Views to distant woodland. |
| Η | Eastfield Drive Footpath 009 | View across open farmland | View looks east towards motorway across farmland towards distant woodland | Farmland - mixture of pasture, arable and livestock Mature mixed native hedgerows border fields |

APPENDIX 4 – Local Green Spaces Report

Policy HAN8 Local green space assessment report

Introduction

This report has been prepared to support the submission of the Hanslope Parish Neighbourhood Plan. The report sets out the conclusions of the Hanslope Parish Council regarding sites to be designated as Local Green Spaces (LGS) that meet the relevant criteria. A local green space designation has the policy effect of the equivalence of the Green Belt when determining planning applications located within a designated LGS.

The report will set out the engagement work regarding the identified landowners of the nominated local green spaces.

National Planning Policy Framework

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) recognizes that access to a network of high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and physical activity is important for the health and well being of communities and can deliver wider benefits for nature and support efforts to address climate change. The NPPF allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them through LGS designation.

Paragraph 106 of the NPPF states that an LGS designation should only be used where the green space is:

- a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;
- b) demonstrably special to the local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife; and
- c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.

Proposed sites for designation as Local Green Spaces

| Site ref | Location description | Historic value | Recreational value | Beauty | Tranquility | Wildlife |
|-------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|----------|
| А | Recreation ground | | | | | |
| В | Wild area to the rear of Hanslope Fields estate | | | | | |
| С | Manor Farm meadow to the rear of Church End | | | | | |
| D | Allotments | | | | | |
| E | Village green and horse pond | | | | | |
| F | Oak tree and surrounding area off Pierrepoint Ave. | | | | | |
| G | Wheatfields green amenity space | | | | | |
| Н | Lincoln Court amenity space | | | | | |
| l | Community orchard by village hall | | | | | |



| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the community it serves | Yes, in the centre of the village | | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes | | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | Well used playing field for team games and other activities plus dog walking | | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by the Parish Council | | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | Included in made plan as green space | | |
| Recommendation | | | |
| Retain as LGS | | | |
| | | | |

B. Wild area to rear of Hanslope Fields estate



| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the community it serves | Yes, on the edge of centre of village development | | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes, reflects MK's local character assessment of open farmland, mature hedgerows and river valley views | | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | Used for various activities including walking & running and has a children's play area with a range of play equipment | | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by the Parish Council | | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | Supports designation | | |
| Recommendation | | | |
| Retain as LGS | | | |



| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the community it serves | Yes, adjacent to church and conservation area | | | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes, reflects MK's local character assessment of open farmland with mature hedgerows | | | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | Historical significance with medieval ridge and furrow cultivation still clearly visible | | | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by the Parish Council | | | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | Supports designation | | | |
| Recommendation | | | | |
| Retain ans LGS | | | | |



| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the | Yes, field opposite housing on Newport | | |
| community it serves | Road | | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes, reflects MK's local character assessment of open field with mature hedgerows | | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | In use as allotments for many years, a tranquil area of the village with long reaching views and a range of wildlife including hares, rabbits and deer | | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by the Parish Council | | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | Supports designation | | |
| Recommendation | | | |
| Retain as LGS | | | |

E. Village Green and Horse pond





| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the community it serves | Yes, on the edge of the conservation area on Park Road | | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes | | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | As well as the beauty and tranquility of the site it has historical value as it has been part of the village since records began | | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by the Parish Council | | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | Supports designation | | |
| Recommendation | | | |
| Designate as LGS | | | |

F. Oak tree and surrounding area off Pierrepoint Avenue



| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | |
|---|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the community it serves | Yes, on the edge of Hanslope fields development opposite Recreation Ground | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | The tree has been in this field for over 50 years and is a well known landmark, photographs shared on social media years before the housing development | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by the Parish Council | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | Supports designation | |
| Recommendation | | |
| Designate as LGS | | |



| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the | Yes, on the edge of the Wheatfields | | |
| community it serves | estate by Long Street Road | | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes | | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | Gives a visual break between houses and road offering a variety of recreational activities including a play park at one end | | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by the Parish Council | | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | Supports designation | | |
| Recommendation | | | |
| Designate as LGS | | | |
| | | | |

H Lincoln Court amenity space

| Assessment against NPPF LGS criterie | a Yes, in the centre of village |
|---|--|
| community it serves | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes, surrounded by dwellings and next to sheltered accommodation at Lincoln Court |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | Yes, well used recreational area for children's games and dog walking as well as providing outdoor green space for all residents around the area. |
| Consultation with Landowner | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Owned and maintained by MKCC |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | No objection expected as it was included in the made Neighbourhood Plan |
| Recommendation | |
| Designate as LGS | |





| Assessment against NPPF LGS criteria | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 In reasonably close proximity to the | Yes, on the edge of the conservation | | |
| community it serves | area | | |
| 2 Local in character and not an extensive tract of land | Yes, on the border of open farmland with native hedgerows | | |
| 3 Demonstrably special to the local community and holds particular significance, for example because of its beauty, tranquility, recreational value (including as a playing field), or richness of wildlife or historical significance | An area with wide views across the fields offering tranquility as well as recreational value tending the orchard and increasing biodiversity as the orchard trees mature | | |
| Consultation with Landowner | | | |
| Landowner consultation with detail of draft plan content | Parish Council will need to contact the community group who operate the gift of the land to grow the fruit trees | | |
| Landowner support/objection to inclusion of green space | We do not anticipate any objections | | |
| Recommendation | | | |
| Designate as LGS | | | |